

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

2 December 2024

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor Aldo Antolli



Councillor David Bain



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Kaspar Deane



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Mark Richardson



Councillor Christian Street

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
Open Session			
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C360/22-2024	4	Apologies	1
C361/22-2024	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
C362/22-2024	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	2
		10.1 Kingston Beach Foreshore	2
		10.2 Kingborough Planning Scheme	3
		10.3 Bruny Island Specific Area Plan	5
		10.4 Kingborough Planning Scheme	6
C363/22-2024	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	6
		11.1 Street Tree Strategy	6
		11.2 Sherburd Oval	7
		11.3 Kingborough Youth Policy & Strategy	8
		11.4 Draft Local Provisions Schedule	8
		11.5 High Performance Centre Infrastructure	9
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	9
	13	Petitions still being Actioned	9
	14	Petitions Received in Last Period	9
	15	Officers Reports to Council	10
C364/22-2024		15.1 Petition - Request for Extension of the 60-day Exhibition Period of the Draft Kingborough Local Provisions Schedule	10
C365/22-2024		15.2 Smoke-free Areas Engagement Outcomes	10
C366/22-2024		15.3 Draft Kingborough Integrated Transport Strategy	10
C367/22-2024		15.4 Council Meetings and Councillors Workshops Audio Recording Guidelines Policy	11
C368/22-2024		15.5 Appendices	11
	16	Notices of Motion	11
C369/22-2024	17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	11

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 2 December 2024 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council’s policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today’s Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Acting Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor D Bain	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor M Richardson	✓
Councillor C Street	✓



Staff:

Chief Executive Officer	Mr Dave Stewart
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Environment, Development & Community Services	Ms Deleeze Chetcuti
Manager Works Department	Mr Jade Jones
Manager Environmental Services	Ms Liz Quinn
Coordinator Environmental Health	Ms Tara Eschler
Media & Communications Advisor	Ms Sam Adams
Acting Executive Assistant	Mrs Korrina Cowley

C360/22-2024

4 APOLOGIES

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt

C361/22-2024

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No. 21 held on 18 November 2024 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

Date	Topic	Detail
25 November	Community Resilience and Bushfire Management	Update provided on Council’s emergency management preparation, Sparking Conversations Igniting Action program and bushfire management program

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There are no questions without notice.

C362/22-2024

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Council has determined that questions on notice or questions taken on notice from a previous meeting should not contain lengthy preambles or embellishments and should consist of a question only. To this end, Council reserves the right to edit questions for brevity so as to table the question only, with some context if need be, for clarity.

10.1 Kingston Beach Foreshore

Mr Roger Tonge submitted the following question on notice:

I note in the agenda for the Council Meeting to be held on 18 November 2024, I note that in the Financial report to Council that a grant of \$564,000 has been received and is to be expended on the “Kingston Beach Foreshore Rehabilitation.”

1. *Could you please advise specifically what works the grant is to be expended on.*
2. *I noted in the capital works budget forecast for 2024/5 that an amount of \$1.5 million had been included for the upgrade of Osborne Ave in I believe financial 26/27. Does this grant form part of that forecasted expenditure?*

3. *Prior to the expenditure of substantial grant and ratepayer funds in the much needed upgrade of the foreshore and Osborne Esplanades would Council consult with the community re the upgrade proposal and seek community input?*

Officer's Response:

The grant received is to be spent on replacing a section of the foreshore footpath. The five year forecast capital plan has \$1.7M in 2026/27, this funding is to cover both the completion of the footpath and beach access plus landscaping. The grant will allow the footpath replacement works to be brought forward to 2024/25 with the balance of the remaining works to be considered in future years. The works planned are based on Council's Kingston Beach Infrastructure plan that has previously had consultation with the community and as such it is not intended to undertake further consultation but affected members of the community will be communicated with as required similar to any capital work projects.

David Reeve, Director Engineering Services

10.2 Kingborough Planning Scheme

Mr Carl Von Savageri submitted the following question on notice:

1. *The Council states in the Kingborough Land Use Strategy, May 2019, that the KIPS2015 Scenic Landscapes Code is "is somewhat arbitrary in that it provides for some added protection for the more elevated areas only". Did the council seek the Ministerial Declaration of Transitional Provisions for the Scenic Landscapes Code to the proposed Statewide Planning and, if so, why? If not, who instigated the transitional provisions?*
2. *The Council states in the Kingborough Land Use Strategy, May 2019, that the "Council will be conducting a more detailed mapping exercise" using the "Guidelines for Scenic Values Assessment: Methodology and Local Provisions Schedules for the Scenic Protection Code". Why was this assessment not undertaken in the time since the strategy was published?*
3. *The Council has stated that there is no clear definition of 'landscape values' in the Tasmanian Planning Scheme and that there are "no specific tools or descriptions for assessment" against the Performance Criteria. The Southern Technical Reference Group (TRG), of which Kingborough council is a member and participant, developed the Guidelines for Scenic Values Assessment: Methodology and Local Provisions Schedules for the Scenic Protection Code" to assess these values. Why has the council chosen not to use this, or another comparable methodology, to assess Landscape values rather than compliance to a Performance Criteria which cannot be defined and has no description?*
4. *How many of the 1419 properties currently zoned as Environmental Living and proposed to transition to the Landscape Conservation Zone have existing residential use, including planning approvals for residential development?*
5. *The Council stated in the supporting report that the Landscape Conservation Zone has been "applied to land zoned Environmental Living in the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme, where the primary intention is for the protection and conservation of landscape value". The Environmental Living zone purpose is defined as "To provide for residential use or development in areas where existing natural and landscape values are to be retained". How has the council determined, for properties currently zoned Environmental Living, that the primary intention is for the protection and conservation of landscape values and not residential use as per the current Environmental Living zone purpose?*
6. *The guideline for the development of states "LCZ 4 - The Landscape Conservation Zone should not be applied to: (a) land where the priority is for residential use and development (see Rural Living Zone)". It further states that "The Landscape Conservation Zone is not a large lot residential zone, in areas characterised by native vegetation cover and other landscape values. Instead, the Landscape Conservation Zone provides a clear priority for the*

protection of landscape values and for complementary use or development, with residential use largely being discretionary.” How is the council ensuring the properties, where the priority is currently for residential use and development, are not being transitioned to the Landscape Conservation Zone rather than the more appropriate Rural Living Zone?

Officer’s Response:

1. In 2017, the State Planning Office (then the Planning Policy Unit) undertook an audit of items in the interim planning schemes that could be transitioned to the Tasmanian Planning Scheme. The audit recommended that the Scenic Landscape Overlay of the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015 be made subject to the transitional provisions. Other than the ability for this to occur under the Act, no reasoning was provided.
2. The Kingborough Land Use Strategy of 2019 provides a broad overview of the visual landscape qualities in Kingborough and how it could be managed and protected in the proposed new planning scheme. The document acknowledges that a local landscape analysis may be required to rank the landscape quality for Kingborough (i.e. to inform a review of the Scenic Landscape Overlay) however that work was not completed due to resource constraints.
3. The comments in Item 10.1 of Council Agenda of 18 November 2024 were made in relation to the assessment of development applications and have no correlation with the mapping of the relevant overlay. The guidelines developed by the TRG could potentially be used in future when the Scenic Protection Overlay is reviewed.
4. Council is unable to provide this information, however the LISTmap Improvement Layer indicates that there are approximately 258 vacant properties.
5. The response to criteria LCZ 2(c) on page 140 of the LPS Supporting Document also states that: *“The Landscape Conservation Zone has been applied to land that has significant constraints on development through the application of the Natural Assets Code or Scenic Protection Code. In some parts of the municipality, the application of the Rural Living Zone in conjunction with the Natural Assets Code and/or Scenic Code has been considered. However, once the codes are applied, development in these areas will be generally limited to a single dwelling and associated outbuildings, with little to no opportunities for other lower order rural activities.”* In addition to the above, the response under LCZ 4 recognises that *“The Landscape Conservation Zone has been applied to land that has characteristics that could be similar to areas where residential communities exist. The primary intention for the application of the Landscape Conservation Zone in these localities is to prioritise the protection and conservation of landscape values as these localities form part of some of the most scenic and prominent bushland areas in the municipality. The residential uses and development that have already established in these areas will continue to exist under the Landscape Conservation Zone”*.
6. Alternative zoning has been considered for land that is currently zoned Environmental Living under the Kingborough Interim Planning Scheme 2015. A detailed response to LCZ 4 is provided on page 141 of the LPS Supporting Document and should be read in conjunction with the broader justification provided for the Landscape Conservation Zone on pages 42 - 45. It should be noted that RLZ 4(b) of the LPS Guideline also states that the Rural Living Zone should not be applied to land that *“contains important landscape values that are identified for protection and conservation, such as bushland areas, large areas of native vegetation, or areas of important scenic values (see Landscape Conservation Zone), unless the values can be appropriately managed through the application and operation of the relevant codes”*. This requirement provides a counter argument for the application of the Landscape Conservation Zone in some parts of the municipality. As mentioned in the LPS Supporting Document, representations received during the exhibition period will be used to finalise the mapping of the Landscape Conservation Zone.

Adriaan Stander, Senior Strategic Planner

10.3 Bruny Island Specific Area Plan

Ms Jo Landon submitted the following question on notice:

1. *The Bruny Island SAP wasn't included in the original draft LPS that was adopted at the council meeting on 9 December 2019; when was this SAP prepared?*
2. *Did the TPC prepare the Bruny Island SAP?*
3. *Did the TPC direct the planning authority to prepare the Bruny Island SAP?*
4. *Did the TPC direct the planning authority to modify the draft LPS to include the Bruny Island SAP?*
5. *Could Council please share any notice in writing from the Commission directing the planning authority to modify the draft LPS to include the Bruny Island SAP?*
6. *Did councillors discuss the Bruny Island SAP and approve the modification of the draft LPS to include it? If so, what was the date of that meeting? Could Council please share the officer's report?*
7. *If councillors gave approval for the draft LPS to be modified to include the Bruny Island SAP, were they made aware of the Outstanding Issues Notice?*

Officer's Response:

1. The first version of the Kingborough Draft Local Provisions Schedule (LPS) that was submitted to the Tasmanian Planning Commission (TPC) for assessment did not include a Bruny Island SAP, but included a SAP for Dennes Point. Council's report of 9 December 2019 (the date the first version of the Draft LPS was presented to Council), pre-empted that the TPC would make modifications to the Kingborough Draft LPS prior to public exhibition. The proposed Bruny Island SAP was prepared during the post lodgement assessment period.
2. The content of the Bruny Island SAP was written by Council Planning Officers (under delegations provided by Kingborough Council) and in conjunction with the TPC.
3. The idea for a SAP for Bruny Island was suggested by the TPC as part of the LPS post lodgement conference process do deal with underlying zoning issues and matters that are unable to be addressed by the standard State Planning Provisions.
4. In its letter of 4 July 2024 (in excess of 300 pages), the TPC provided a draft notice to Council to modify the first version of the Draft LPS that was submitted to them. The draft notice indicated a list of amendments including the proposed Bruny Island SAP. In a subsequent letter, dated 18 September 2024, the TPC instructed Council to commence with the exhibition of the Draft LPS and it included an instruction to also exhibit the Draft Bruny Island SAP which is subject to an outstanding issue notice.
5. Because of the size of the letter of 4 July 2024, this and the letter of 18 September 2024 can be provided separately by sending a request to kc@kingborough.tas.gov.au.
6. Councillors were updated on the TPC's assessment of the Draft LPS at workshops held on 14 August 2023, 26 August 2024 and 30 September 2024. The Bruny Island SAP was discussed in the first two sessions. Council's agenda of 7 October 2024 advised of the outcome of the TPC's assessment, their direction to modify the Draft LPS and their instruction to commence with the exhibition of the Draft LPS.
7. No, the changes to the Draft LPS were instructed by the TPC and made by Council officers under delegated authority. The final notice to modify the Draft LPS included an outstanding notice for the proposed Bruny Island SAP, and the intention of the TPC to issue an

outstanding notice was brought to the attention of the Councillors in the workshop of 26 August 2024.

Adriaan Stander, Senior Strategic Planner

10.4 Kingborough Planning Scheme

Ms Natisha Knight submitted the following question on notice:

I would like to know why property owners being affected by the new zoning changes have not been contacted directly and what compensation will you provide said property owners for the reduced value of their properties that these changes to zoning creates?

Officer's Response:

At its [meeting of 3 April 2023](#), Council considered a report in relation to the above-mentioned matter. The report provides a detailed overview of Council's ability to go beyond the statutory requirements to correspond directly with landowners and occupiers within Kingborough notifying them of the draft Kingborough Local Provisions Schedule (LPS), as part of the introduction of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme. Council resolved to notify the Kingborough public about the upcoming statutory public exhibition period of the draft LPS by:

- a) Undertaking the Statutory notice requirements outlined in section 3.1 of that report;
- b) Undertaking the non-statutory notification tasks and undertake supporting information sessions outlined in section 4.2 of that report; and
- c) Including a notification in the 2023/2024 June/July Council rates notices that public exhibition of the LPS will occur in the near future and provide direction as to where they will see notification of its commencement and where they can access more information about it.

The notification in the rates notice provided the ability for people to sign up to be notified of the exhibition period and people who have taken up that opportunity were notified accordingly.

There is no indication that property values will be reduced as a result of the zoning changes. If people have such concerns, they are encouraged to make written representations during the public exhibition period of the Draft LPS that concludes on 9 December 2024.

Adriaan Stander, Senior Strategic Planner

C363/22-2024

11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

Council has determined that questions on notice or questions taken on notice from a previous meeting should not contain lengthy preambles or embellishments and should consist of a question only. To this end, Council reserves the right to edit questions for brevity so as to table the question only, with some context if need be, for clarity.

Cr Cordover asked the following question without notice:

11.1 Street Tree Strategy

Hobart City Council last week was giving away native trees to help grow Hobart's urban tree canopy cover, their target is 40% by 2046 and for two days in Elizabeth Street Mall, they were giving out free trees, all natives: Dogwood, Manuka, Wooley Teatree, Lemon Bottlebrush, Blackwood, White Peppermint and Black Sheoak. Since Hobart City Council implemented their 2017 City of Hobart Street Tree Strategy, the Council of Hobart boasts that 80% of their actions in

the strategy have now been completed. Has our council considered implementing an urban canopy coverage target, particularly for new subdivisions?

Manager Environmental Services responds:

Yes, we have considered it and considered developing a street tree strategy, which is where those targets would sit within, however due to resourcing constraints, we haven't progressed the strategy. What we have completed though is detailed canopy mapping for the urban areas of Kingborough, including all the main settlements. We've got the data which would help us start to think about what that target might be, but we haven't been able to resource the development of the strategy.

Cr Cordover:

We've heard a little bit about the status update of the Kingborough Council's potential urban street tree plan, what kind of timeframe, if resources aren't available now, and I was aware that there was \$75,000 put aside for that initial stage, when might resources become available? I assume it's not just financial resources, it is human resources as well.

Director Environment, Development and Community responds:

The resources weren't so much about having the budget, it was the people to do that, due to some vacant roles that we experienced for some time, that we've only just been able to recruit for and fill. Once we catch ourselves up with that work, we will relook at the tree strategy. That will have to be looked at in all the other priorities that we have as well, and looking at the risk of addressing or not addressing it. I like to think we can have an earnest look at the timeframes in 2025 as we'll be in a calmer space next year.

Cr Cordover:

In terms of what Hobart City Council is doing, do we support the way that they're going about implementing their actions? Do we support their actions, and are there any particular lessons that we can bring across to Kingborough?

Manager Environmental Services:

Absolutely, Council is part of an Urban Tree Managers Group, so we meet quarterly with all of the other Urban Tree Managers across greater Hobart and beyond. The City of Hobart instigated that and lead that group, which is fantastic as they are certainly leading the way in managing urban canopy cover. They very freely share their templates, ideas and activities and I would say Kingborough is quite a long way behind where the City of Hobart is, for the reasons I'm sure most would understand. That's not to say that we don't have lots of magnificent street trees and we actively manage those street trees, it is that we haven't set targets and aren't driving and increasing that canopy cover at the moment.

Cr Midgley asked the following questions without notice:

11.2 Sherburd Oval

In relation to the Sherburd Oval, could we please get an update in regard to the cricket nets, club rooms and any consultation or where the budget sits with that one?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

The Cricket Club expressed a desire to have the nets relocated to the southern end of the oval. Staff have been assessing the feasibility of that, and it does look to be practical, which then links into the second part of your question in that if the nets were relocated, it does open up the potential use of the space where the nets are. In looking at the existing Sherburd Oval change rooms, the advice we received with respect to the cost of upgrading them, was that it would be a better option

to look at constructing new change rooms rather than trying to retrofit and redevelop the existing. With that in mind, we have explored the option of constructing new change rooms on the other side of the oval and retaining the existing changerooms as storage. At this point in time, we've had some consultation with the user groups, but no further consultation than that.

Cr Midgley:

Will we be doing any broader consultation or further budget implications in regard to that?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

You are correct, it does have some budget implications in that construction of new changerooms as opposed to upgrading existing creates a new asset, and it's not replacing the asset because we would be retaining the asset, so they are considerations that we will need to look at. In terms of broader consultation, if the project does look to be feasible and has the support of the user groups, there would be a development application required, in which there would be a statutory advertising process.

11.3 Kingborough Youth Policy & Strategy

In looking at the forward agenda and the different policies up for review, I notice the Kingborough Youth Policy is up for review, but I also note that the Kingborough Youth Strategy has a timeframe of 2024. I'm wondering if they are two separate documents, or are we going to be reviewing the Kingborough Youth Strategy as well early next year and any thoughts on that, please?

Director Environment, Development and Community responds:

Yes, we will be reviewing the policy and the strategy. The strategy will be a lot more involved than the policy and it is part of the Community Services Team's plans for 2025. We are looking at a high level review of an overarching community services program or strategy, where these sub strategies might fit into, so we can ensure that they're complimentary, they're addressing the community needs in priority, and that they form part of Council's overall approach for community services in a holistic way. So yes, that work is planned to happen.

Cr Deane asked the following questions without notice:

11.4 Draft Local Provisions Schedule

The Draft Local Provisions Schedule is currently being exhibited. Members of the public have until next Monday to put in a representation, so given that we're near the end, I'm hoping we can get an update on where we're at, given that this is our last meeting before it closes?

Director Environment, Development and Community responds:

The last update that I had from planning staff regarding representations, is that we had 35. I assume that since that time, there's probably a few more and we probably expect quite a few coming in quickly before 9 December, which is only one week away. The amount of people who are coming in to see us has increased and there's been a steady flow of face-to-face appointments, online enquiries, as well as phone enquiries through customer service. Some themes that we are seeing are the LCZ zone, we're seeing a lot of concerns that relate to matters that are outside of the planning scheme, for example, public transport, general frustrations with council, etc, which at times dominates the conversations. The other one is that there's people who are coming to see us who haven't done or haven't had time to do pre-reading, and are coming in with some preconceived views and the time is spent helping them understand the process, how it applies, what it actually means for their property, which is in turn having some positive outcomes because they've been able to be given the facts and then been able to understand the implications for their property.

11.5 High Performance Centre Infrastructure

Two questions about infrastructure upgrades as part of the High Performance Centre, that I have been asked about, are the sealing of Gormley Road and the Spring Farm Connector. I'm wondering if we can have an update on where that sits as part of the bid?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

The sealing of Gormley Road forms part of the package that will be delivered by the State Government, funded by the State Government. Part of our bid was a commitment from the State Government that the Spring Farm Connector Road would be funded within three years, so in accepting our bid, that has also been accepted as part of the package. It may not necessarily be delivered through the funding strategy for the High Performance Centre, but will be coming from State Government, one way or the other.

Cr Deane:

In terms of the relocation of the dog park and the mountain bike area, there have been concerns that they won't be accommodated, could you please update the community on those two issues?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

The dog exercise area will be impacted upon by the construction of a new oval, as will the Pump Track, as will the first part of the mountain bike park. We have given a commitment to the Kingborough Dog Walkers Association that we will find an alternate, comparable location for dog exercise. We are currently assessing some options in the area and once we have done our due diligence on those options, we will get back to the KDWA and work through those, to determine the most suitable. In relation to the mountain bike park, we will indeed be reconstructing the first half of that so it continues to be provided within the precinct, similarly with the Pump Track, which will regrettably have to be reconstructed, but it will be reconstructed as a like for like and retained within the precinct.

12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

There were no questions on notice from Councillors.

13 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

14 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

A petition headed 'Request for Extension of the 60-Day Exhibition Period of the Draft Kingborough Local Provisions Schedule' was received and a report is included in this agenda.

15 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C364/22-2024**15.1 PETITION - REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE 60-DAY EXHIBITION PERIOD OF THE DRAFT KINGBOROUGH LOCAL PROVISIONS SCHEDULE**

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That:

- (a) The organisers of the petition be advised that there is no ability under the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* to extend the statutory 60-day exhibition period of the Draft LPS.
- (b) The organisers of the petition also be advised that if they choose to submit late representations or provide additional information after the exhibition period, they provide that to Council on or before 9 January 2025. Council will endeavour to take this information into consideration within its capacity to do so and within the statutory timeframes provided in the Act and allowed by the Tasmanian Planning Commission.

CARRIED**C365/22-2024****15.2 SMOKE-FREE AREAS ENGAGEMENT OUTCOMES**

Moved: Cr Amanda Midgley

Seconded: Cr David Bain

That Council:

- (a) Upon a successful Healthy Tasmania Grant application, financially supports the development and implementation of a Council project to review, update and launch signage and education around Council's existing smoke-free areas from the 24/25 Budget; and
- (b) Notes that a further report will be provided to Council prior to the proposed declaration of any new smoke-free area and/or a successful grant application.

CARRIED**C366/22-2024****15.3 DRAFT KINGBOROUGH INTEGRATED TRANSPORT STRATEGY**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That Council approve the release of the attached Draft Kingborough Integrated Transport Strategy 2024 for community feedback.

CARRIED

C367/22-2024**15.4 COUNCIL MEETINGS AND COUNCILLORS WORKSHOPS AUDIO RECORDING GUIDELINES POLICY**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That the updated Council Meetings and Councillor Workshops Audio Recording Guidelines Policy 1.10 as attached to this report be approved.

CARRIED

C368/22-2024**15.5 APPENDICES**

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover

Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the Appendices attached to the Agenda be received and noted.

CARRIED

16 NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no notices of motion received.

C369/22-2024**17 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

Moved: Cr Flora Fox

Seconded: Cr David Bain

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Tender Assessment - AB2422 Kingston Beach LATM construction works

Regulation 15(2)(b), and (2)(d) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct business, and contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Tender Assessment - AB2421 Pelverata Road (Vic 609) Reconstruction

Regulation 15(2)(b), and (2)(d) *information that, if disclosed, is likely to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposes to conduct business, and contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Tender for Replacement of Two Medium Tip Trucks

Regulation 15(2)(d) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

Shared Procurement Contract for Energy Supply

Regulation 15(2)(d) *contracts, and tenders, for the supply and purchase of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.*

CARRIED

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting ceased.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 6.50pm.

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.34pm

C370/22-2024

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Tender Assessment - AB2422 Kingston Beach LATM construction works	Tender awarded to De Kleine Construction Pty Ltd for \$345,267 excl GST
Tender Assessment - AB2421 Pelverata Road (Vic 609) Reconstruction	Tender awarded to JRV Civil Construction Pty Ltd for \$449,067.95 excl GST
Tender for Replacement of Two Medium Tip Trucks	Approved
Shared Procurement Contract for Energy Supply	Authorised

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.35pm.

.....
 (Confirmed)

.....
 (Date)