

Kingborough



COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

18 November 2024

These Minutes are provided for the assistance and information of members of the public, and are a draft until confirmed as a true record at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Kingborough Councillors 2022 - 2026



Mayor
Councillor Paula Wriedt



Deputy Mayor
Councillor Clare Glade-Wright



Councillor Aldo Antolli



Councillor David Bain



Councillor Gideon Cordover



Councillor Kaspar Deane



Councillor Flora Fox



Councillor Amanda Midgley



Councillor Mark Richardson



Councillor Christian Street

Table of Contents

Item		Page No.	
	1	Audio Recording	1
	2	Acknowledgement of Traditional Custodians	1
	3	Attendees	1
C340/21-2024	4	Apologies	1
C341/21-2024	5	Confirmation of Minutes	2
	6	Workshops held since Last Council Meeting	2
C342/21-2024	7	Declarations of Interest	2
	8	Transfer of Agenda Items	2
C343/21-2024	9	Questions without Notice from the Public	2
	9.1	Skating at the Kingborough Community Hub	2
	9.2	Hobart Radio Car Control Club	3
	9.3	Relocation of the Hobart Radio Control Car Club	4
	9.4	JackJumpers High Performance Centre	4
	9.5	Medical Centre at Margate	5
	9.6	Various Projects	5
	9.7	Land Use Strategy	5
C344/21-2024	10	Questions on Notice from the Public	7
	10.1	Landscape Values	7
	10.2	Statewide Planning Scheme	8
C345/21-2024	11	Questions without Notice from Councillors	9
	11.1	Medical Centre, Margate	9
	12	Questions on Notice from Councillors	10
	13	Petitions still being Actioned	10
	14	Petitions Received in Last Period	10
	15	Officers Reports to Council	10
C346/21-2024	15.1	Petition: Pedestrian and Accessible Crossing to Merediths Orchard	10
C347/21-2024	15.2	Memorials Policy	10
C348/21-2024	15.3	Election Caretaker Period Policy	11
C349/21-2024	15.4	Appointment of Members to Council Special Committees	11
C350/21-2024	15.5	Financial Report - October 2024	12
C351/21-2024	15.6	Appendices	12
	16	Notices of Motion	12
C352/21-2024	17	Confirmation of Items to be Dealt with In Closed Session	13

MINUTES of an Ordinary Meeting of Council
Kingborough Civic Centre, 15 Channel Highway, Kingston
Monday, 18 November 2024 at 5.30pm

1 AUDIO RECORDING

The Chairperson declared the meeting open, welcomed all in attendance and advised that Council meetings are recorded and made publicly available on its website. In accordance with Council’s policy the Chairperson received confirmation that the audio recording had commenced.

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL CUSTODIANS

The Chairperson acknowledged the traditional custodians of this land, paid respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged today’s Tasmanian Aboriginal community.

3 ATTENDEES

Councillors:

Mayor Councillor P Wriedt	✓
Councillor A Antolli	✓
Councillor G Cordover	✓
Councillor K Deane	✓
Councillor F Fox	✓
Councillor A Midgley	✓
Councillor M Richardson	✓
Councillor C Street	✓

Staff:

Chief Executive Officer	Mr Dave Stewart
Acting Director People & Finance	Mr Tim Jones
Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services	Mr Daniel Smee
Director Engineering Services	Mr David Reeve
Director Environment, Development & Community Services	Ms Deleeze Chetcuti
Executive Assistant	Mrs Amanda Morton

C340/21-2024

4 APOLOGIES

Deputy Mayor Councillor C Glade-Wright
Councillor D Bain

C341/21-2024

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That the Minutes of the open session of the Council Meeting No.20 held on 4 November 2024 be confirmed as a true record.

CARRIED

6 WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

Date	Topic	Detail
10 November	Strategic Plan	Preliminary discussion was held in relation to the development of a new strategic plan for Council in 2025.

C342/21-2024

7 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The CEO, Mr Dave Stewart, declared an interest in the item in closed session headed “CEO’s Probation”.

8 TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no agenda items transferred.

C343/21-2024

9 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Council has determined that questions on notice or questions taken on notice from a previous meeting should not contain lengthy preambles or embellishments and should consist of a question only. To this end, Council reserves the right to edit questions for brevity so as to table the question only, with some context if need be, for clarity.

Mr Mark Donnellon asked the following questions without notice:

9.1 Skating at the Kingborough Community Hub

In a Council meeting on 19 August in relation to removing the permanent ban on wheeled and ball activities at the Kingborough Community Hub, a motion was carried to request staff to provide a report to councillors to consider at a workshop, the alternative options for use of the space by the community. Was the workshop held and what were the alternative options for use of the space by the community presented by the staff?

Director Environment, Development & Community Services responds:

Yes, we have held the workshop. Some of the options that we looked at were potentially looking at including in the budget or some additional funding in next year's budget around creating a

dedicated and fit for purpose space. Post the workshop, there has been some ideas put forward around potentially holding roller skating or skating events at a space. We haven't been able to make any further progress on that at this stage, but we intend to have something further to come back to Council.

Mr Donnellon:

Does that mean that the Council is looking in future at a plan that will allow a roller skate group like the Hobart Social Skates to book the venue for a roller skating event?

Director Environment, Development & Community Services:

Unlikely at that site because it's not fit for purpose in terms of its surface etc. It is something we might look at at another area that is fit for purpose that we could do something similar to the events that you're talking about in Hobart City. I'm not quite across the details of those events, but I do believe that they're run by the skating social group and not Hobart City themselves, but I believe there is a form of partnership there.

Mr Donnellon:

The Tasmanian Government Gazette published the value of a penalty unit for this financial year at \$202. Is a parent wearing roller skates while teaching their five year old child the roller skate at the Hub at risk of being charged 5 penalty units or \$1,010 by the Kingborough Council?

Chief Executive Officer responds:

The punitive response of fining people for those types of activities is something that I would hope that we would use as a final recourse in modulating people's behaviour around the facility of that type. In saying that, the use of fines to be able to address behavior that is not in keeping with Council's use of a space is something that is an option for us, but it would be one that we would only go to at the extreme end of the situation.

9.2 Hobart Radio Car Control Club

I had a brief chat with one of the members and they informed me that they have not found a new home. Which organization was it that desired that the Jackjumpers High Performance centre be located exactly where the Hobart Radio Control Car club is?

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services responds:

Council offered up a number of sites and on assessment by the Department of State Growth that was deemed to be the most appropriate site for that particular development.

Mr Donnellon:

Might I ask what the other sites were?

Mayor:

They were other sites in the vicinity. So all within the sports centre precinct.

Mr Donnellon:

What specific steps has the Council taken to assist in the rehoming of the Hobart Radio Controlled car club?

Mayor:

Before I ask Mr Smee to go through the specific steps, I will say that we have had our staff actively looking for alternative locations for the club and I believe the most recent communication that we

had with them some time ago was that the CEO wrote with a list of three alternative locations that we had been investigating for them and asked them to come back to us to respond to as to whether these were deemed suitable and we did not hear anything further from them, which is unfortunate.

Director Governance, Recreation & Property Services:

That is correct. We met with the club at the time that the site had been selected as the preferred site. We discussed with them their needs and requested that they come back to us with specific details around the area that they needed and any other requirements and the club failed to get back to us. And as the Mayor indicated, we've since followed that up in writing without response.

Mr David Grace asked the following questions without notice:

9.3 Relocation of the Hobart Radio Control Car Club

Just following on the question raised a minute ago regarding the Hobart club, I've received an e-mail from them last week, saying there has been no communications from the government nor this Council.

Mayor responds:

That is incorrect and I have seen a copy of that e-mail because I believe you forwarded it through and that did prompt us to follow up again with the club subsequent to that e-mail and we have still not had any further communication from them. We have very clearly said to them that there were three locations that we had been looking at for them, but needed to know more specifics from them about the type of track that they required and the setup etc so that we can continue to work with them, but it's not possible for us to do that if they're not going to make contact with us. I'm aware they've been in contact with you and I can only suggest that you ask them to get in contact with us and to respond to the emails that we have sent offering to assist them.

9.4 JackJumpers High Performance Centre

When the Council advertised in the Mercury that they aim to lease the land at 10 Kingston View Drive, I questioned about the way it was done because there was no consultation within the community to advise them. And that's why I looked at this and I'm reminding councillors of their vision, their purpose and our values.

Mayor:

I'm just trying to work out the question. When did we advertise or when will we advertise?

Mr Grace:

When you did advertise the application for the JackJumpers and I was told by two councillors that you did do consultation. That wasn't the case because I received a letter from Council staff saying the only consultation that was done was the advertising.

Chief Executive Officer:

When we listed the land for proposed disposal, it was the Council's opportunity to seek feedback from the community about any issues or any concerns or any support that the community wanted to raise around the disposal of that land. Just to clarify your point earlier, it wasn't advertised as a lease, it was advertised as Council disposing of the land. So that's what was put out in the Mercury and we then considered the response that came back from any representations, and Council then passed the motion to dispose of that piece plan.

Mr Grace:

Did you say it was advertised not for lease? That's what you just said it wasn't advertised for lease.

Chief Executive Officer:

Correct. The word lease was not used in the advertising.

9.5 Medical Centre at Margate

As you know, we lost the doctors at Snug or I do find now there are two doctors operating at Snug on a temporary basis. I do know a lot about that development and I'm concerned now, I believe it was advertised and are we likely to see doctors there? It's a great concern to a lot of people down in Margate and the Channel area and Bruny Island. Have you got the latest on that?

Chief Executive Officer responds:

We've been in conversation with the developer who's overseeing the development of that site. They're going through the final stages of that process with our development services. We're ironing out the final details there. We would like to think that that development will be finalised in the near future to be able to bring in medical services there, but we'll continue to work through that process directly with the developer.

9.6 Various Projects

The bus stop here in Channel Highway. Where are we at with that?

Mayor responds:

We have been waiting for a number of weeks now for some revised plans to come through from the Department of State Growth. We've now received those. Those plans are to do some minor readjustment to the curb and that will be done as soon as we can get the contractors to complete that work, but we can't give an end date at the moment.

Mr Grace:

And the underpass at Summerleas Road. Where are we at with that now?

Mayor:

We're at the point of backfilling now that the actual underpass has gone in and we've been giving regular updates to the community through social media and we are on track with the revised date of around mid-December at this point, providing we have no adverse weather events, but the road should be open by then, but we will take it on a week by week basis.

9.7 Land Use Strategy

I noted you and other mayors the other day criticizing the planning system. Where are we at? For 20 years I've been trying to get land rezoned in Snug.

Mayor responds:

That is part of the regional land use strategies that have been in existence for 15 years and we need to have updated. That is work that the State Government needs to do and southern Councils have been working proactively with the State Government on updating that strategy because

instead of them doing the work, they basically lobbed it on southern Councils. That work is underway at the moment. The matter I was talking about the other day with the other three Greater Hobart Mayors was in relation to the development assessment panels which the State Government is putting legislation through Parliament this week, which would fundamentally change the planning processes and allow developers to have their development assessed by a development assessment panel when they want to, for various reasons, at any stage during the process and so we quite rightly joined together to express our concern about that and the lack of consultation that the State Government undertook and we're waiting to see whether that legislation is introduced into Parliament this week.

Mr Grace:

I hear it is going to be and I believe it's going to be passed.

Mayor:

Well, I can assure you that there have been many Councils who have been lobbying various people in both the lower and upper Houses of Parliament, the Local Government Association of Tasmania has been briefing members of Parliament and myself, this morning, I went to see representatives of the Labour Party on behalf of the Local Government Association, to express our concerns with what is fundamentally flawed legislation at this stage. We understand they're making some minor changes to the bill, but we haven't seen that as yet.

Mr Grace:

I am disappointed about the planning. I thought it just went through Council here a couple of months ago and I believe that this Council has dragged it's feet on changing over to the new planning.

Mayor:

No, sorry, Mr Grace, that is not correct. Kingborough Council has waited four years for the Tasmanian Planning Commission to be in a position to assess our transition to the Statewide Planning Scheme. We lodged our documents four years ago and we are one of only three councils that are yet to transition to the Statewide Planning Scheme and we can only assume that they left ours to the very end because of the size of it and the number of properties, but we are going through that process at the moment. We are out for public consultation and we are now partway through that regulated process and we have time frames that we have to meet and we hope to be on the scheme by June next year. But we are very much in the hands of the State Government whether that can be achieved or not. But we are fulfilling our end for the processes that we've had to go through.

Mr Grace:

But where will that leave us with the issue that I've been raising now for five years, 20 years really but more so since this Greater Hobart deal came through and then stopped the development South of Kingston.

Mayor:

The issues that you're raising are more related to the Southern Regional Land Use Strategy and that has been in place for 15 years and in that time, of course, there has been significant growth all around the State, but particularly in Kingborough, and the strategy has not kept up to date with the growth that has occurred and particularly on those outer areas of the main parts of Kingston, such as Margate. So we fully appreciate that and we have been encouraging the State Government to put more resources into being able to expedite that work. We feel like it's probably about 12 months away now, or hopefully by middle of next year that that process is complete as well. But it has been a source of frustration for all councils, the Southern Councils, because we've had a good team of people working on it. We are far more advanced than the Councils in the North and the North West who are left to do it themselves as well, so we will probably have the Southern strategy reviewed and in place by middle of next year by dependent on the State Government.

C344/21-2024

10 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

10.1 Landscape Values

Ms Valeska Winter submitted the following question on notice:

1. *When planning officers assess performance criteria that requires development to 'have regard to the landscape', or 'not have an unreasonable/significant impact on the landscape,' could you please describe what processes or steps a planning officer would typically go through to determine whether this performance criteria has been met?*
2. *Could you please elaborate on what elements or characteristics in the landscape that a development might need to 'have regard to, and provide some examples of how a development might have regard to these elements?*
3. *How would the applicant of a development application typically demonstrate that a development 'has regard to the landscape?' Or is this usually assessed using the planners expertise and discretion?*
4. *Are there any existing tools or supports already available to planning officers to support consistent assessment of landscape impacts?*
5. *What would happen if a development application is submitted but does not specifically address a performance criteria that requires the development to 'have regard to the landscape?' For example, would it typically be expected for the applicant to submit further information or is it then simply up to the planning officers discretion?*

Officer's Response:

There is no clear definition of 'landscape' in the Planning Scheme; much like a number of other terms that are frequently used in the Planning Scheme. If there is no definition available, then a plain word interpretation is to be used.

The word 'landscape' is defined in the Macquarie Dictionary as: "a view or prospect of rural scenery, more or less extensive, such as is comprehended within the scope or range of vision from a single point of view" and "an area of land with distinguishing features or landforms: a wooded landscape, a hilly landscape".

The way that Planners consider landscape depends on the nature and scale of the proposal; it also needs to consider what the objectives are for those clauses that call it up. Landscape may be considered at a local level, but may also be considered more broadly depending on how visible the site or the area of development is. Landscape may include the topography, vegetation patterns (including grasslands or pasture) and sight lines. It is not limited to the natural environment, it may include a landscape that encompasses development and built form.

There are no specific tools or descriptions to support the inclusion of that term provided by the State Planning Office (who approved and use the term).

Where a proposal does not satisfy the applicable Acceptable Solutions of zones or codes, applicants are typically requested to provide a written response describing how a proposal meets the correlating Performance Criteria, and including any other documentation (ie visual impact assessment) to support the justification. It is at the discretion of the Planning Officer to consider if further requests of justification are required, as there is much variation in what is submitted or required by different clauses of the scheme or the scale and type of development within various site contexts. The assessing officer must be satisfied that they can justify their reasoning for supporting, or not supporting approvals, whether against the Acceptable Solutions and Performance Criteria.

Tasha Tyler-Moore, Manager Development Services.

10.2 Statewide Planning Scheme

Ms Valeska Winter submitted the following question on notice:

1. *Could you please confirm specifically what are the landscape values that have been identified to be protected and conserved under the Landscape Conservation Zone?*
2. *How have these landscape values been determined as the values that should be protected and conserved?*
3. *How have these values been determined to be present on the land proposed to be zoned as Landscape Conservation Zone?*
4. *What portion of land might need to typically contain these values to warrant the application of Landscape Conservation Zone?*
5. *How will it be determined which landscape values are higher priority to protect, and which values might be able to be compromised as needed to support appropriate discretionary development?*
6. *Given the significant challenges and community concern with the statewide planning scheme not having a 'like for like' zone that is even similar to the current Environmental Living Zone, has there been any conversation with the Planning Commission on whether Environmental Living Zone could potentially reinstated statewide?*
7. *Professional advice has confirmed that in our situation, the Landscape Conservation Zone is unfortunately a downzoning for our property because it does not reflect or protect the current usage rights for our property. We do support the protection of natural and landscape values, as that is the reason we live where we live, but we feel there has to be another solution that protects our previous, current and future usage rights. What is Council's view on this significant community concern?*

Officer's Response:

1. There is no clear definition for 'landscape values' in the Tasmanian Planning Scheme; however, the Tasmanian Planning Commission has recently made a decision in this regard and utilised the Macquarie Dictionary that provides a broad definition for 'landscape' to inform the application of the Landscape Conservation Zone. The definition *includes* "a view or prospect of rural scenery, more or less extensive, such as is comprehended within the scope or range of vision from a single point of view" and "an area of land with distinguishing features or landforms: a wooded landscape, a hilly landscape". Importantly, these distinguishing features or landforms can exist even when they can't be seen from a public vantage point such as a road.
2. LPS Guidelines provide for a range of circumstances in which the Landscape Conservation Zone may be applied, and this has been the main tool that has been used to undertake the proposed Landscape Conservation Zone mapping. They include:
 - land with landscape values identified for protection and conservation, such as bushland areas;
 - large areas of native vegetation;
 - areas of important scenic values;
 - large areas of bushland or native vegetation, not otherwise reserved, which contain threatened native vegetation communities or threatened species;
 - areas of locally or regionally important native vegetation;
 - land with significant constraints on development through the application of the Natural Assets Code or Scenic Protection Code; and

- land within an interim planning scheme in the Environmental Living Zone where the primary intention is for the protection and conservation of landscape values.
3. The existing situation under the KIPS2015 has been utilised as a starting point to inform the application of the Landscape Conservation Zone and that was then further refined in consultation with the Tasmanian Planning Commission as part of the Post Lodgement Conferences, having regard to the matters mentioned in the LPS Guidelines.
 4. As per the LPS Guidelines, the Landscape Conservation Zone has been applied to large parcels of land that contain landscape values, but it can also to grouping of lots that together contribute to the landscape values in an area. To clarify, the zone application is considered at a broad scale and does not necessarily relate to the values that exist on a single property.
 5. Given that the Landscape Conservation Zone is a new zone under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme, and the subjective nature of the landscape values that the zone aims to protect, feedback received during the public exhibition period will be used to finalise the application of the zone in Kingborough having regard to the LPS Guidelines. This approach is acknowledged in the [LPS Supporting Document](#) that is available on Council's website.
 6. This matter has been raised with the State Planning Office as part of the review of the State Planning Provisions that is currently underway.
 7. Individuals are encouraged to make written representations during the public exhibition process if they have concerns or oppose the zoning that is proposed for their land. It would be premature to indicate how presentations and community concerns will influence the finalisation of the LPS. All representations (no matter the Council's recommendation) will be provided to the Tasmanian Planning Commission for consideration as part of their public hearing process before a final decision is made.

Adriaan Stander, Senior Strategic Planner

C345/21-2024

11 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

Council has determined that questions on notice or questions taken on notice from a previous meeting should not contain lengthy preambles or embellishments and should consist of a question only. To this end, Council reserves the right to edit questions for brevity so as to table the question only, with some context if need be, for clarity.

Cr Deane asked the following question without notice:

11.1 Medical Centre, Margate

Was it possible that in the meeting with the developer that they confirmed that there was the GP collective moving into the top floor of the Margate development and that there will be up to 15 consulting rooms leased out to doctors to service the patients of the Snug and lower Southern Channel area?

Chief Executive Officer responds:

I can confirm that the developer did confirm that they will be working towards that as an outcome for the Margate community.

Cr Deane:

Is it true that they will be accepting patients from the Snug medical practice?

Chief Executive Officer:

I don't know the detailed operations behind that, but I know that as they have new medical practitioners coming in, that's typically a time when they have capacity within medical services to take on patients from other places.

12 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

There were no questions on notice from Councillors.

13 PETITIONS STILL BEING ACTIONED

There are no petitions still being actioned.

14 PETITIONS RECEIVED IN LAST PERIOD

No Petitions had been received.

15 OFFICERS REPORTS TO COUNCIL

C346/21-2024**15.1 PETITION: PEDESTRIAN AND ACCESSIBLE CROSSING TO MEREDITHS ORCHARD**

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That the organiser of the petition requesting a pedestrian crossing point on the Channel Highway to access Meredith's Orchard be advised that Council is preparing detailed design for the pedestrian crossing and linking footpaths as part of the Crescent Drive Reconstruction project and funding for construction will be pursued once the design is finalised.

CARRIED

C347/21-2024**15.2 MEMORIALS POLICY**

Moved: Cr Kaspar Deane
Seconded: Cr Flora Fox

That the update of Council's Memorials Policy 4.17 (as attached to this report) be endorsed.

*Cr Midgley left the room at 6.06pm
Cr Midgley returned at 6.07pm*

CARRIED

C348/21-2024

15.3 ELECTION CARETAKER PERIOD POLICY

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the updated Election Caretaker Period Policy 1.21 as attached to this report be approved.

CARRIED

C349/21-2024

15.4 APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO COUNCIL SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Moved: Cr Kaspar Deane
 Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

That the appointment of members to the Special Committees of Council to manage Kingborough’s Community Halls in accordance with the provisions of Section 24 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, effective from 1 December 2024 for a term of two years be endorsed as follows:

<p>Adventure Bay Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Margaret Graham 2. Bernd Farasin 3. Barbara McKinlay 4. Suzi Edwards 5. Jillian Weeding 	<p>Bruny Island Community Centre</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mathew Fagan 2. Caroline Rannersberger 3. Tania Matthews 4. Trevor Adams 5. Barbara Eynon 6. Jess Beaton
<p>Kettering Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annick Ansselin 2. Dave Davey 3. Philip Tomney 4. Kenneth Goodsell 5. Michael McClusky 6. Mary Tomney 7. Sue Powell 8. Narelle Dahl 9. Alex Hirsch 	<p>Lower Longley Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Janet Symons 2. Susan Cooper 3. Sue Larson 4. Guy Greener 5. Colleen Burdon 6. John Cox 7. Stephen Ward
<p>Lunawanna Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Karen Maddern 2. Kerry Marvell 3. Rodney Hopwood 4. Victoria Bull 5. Willian Hughes 	<p>North Bruny Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Karen Hansson 2. Kristine Jones 3. Dianne Jodlowska 4. Leanne Nugent 5. Marcus Richards 6. Stephen Abbott 7. Carolyn Martyn 8. Walter Truesdale 9. Sue Kingston 10. Virginia Dowzer 11. Garth Pope

<p>Snug Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Marcus Thalmann 2. Isaac Forster 3. Michael Christie 4. Laura Blake 	<p>Taroona Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Maureen Robinson 2. Alex Terhell 3. Gillian Paxton 4. Pamela Rowell 5. Joanne Nash 6. George Heydon
<p>Woodbridge Hall</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sue Edwards 2. Penny Egan 3. Pam Nossiter 4. Craig Meredith 5. Christine Rowlands 6. Graham Rae 7. Stephanie Shoebridge 	

CARRIED

C350/21-2024

15.5 FINANCIAL REPORT - OCTOBER 2024

Moved: Cr Mark Richardson
 Seconded: Cr Gideon Cordover

That Council endorses the attached Financial Report as at 31 October 2024.

CARRIED

C351/21-2024

15.6 APPENDICES

Moved: Cr Gideon Cordover
 Seconded: Cr Aldo Antolli

That the Appendices attached to the Agenda be received and noted.

Cr Richardson left the room at 6.49pm
Cr Richardson returned at 6.51pm

CARRIED

16 NOTICES OF MOTION

There were no Notices of Motion.

C352/21-2024**17 CONFIRMATION OF ITEMS TO BE DEALT WITH IN CLOSED SESSION**

Moved: Cr Aldo Antolli
Seconded: Cr Kaspar Deane

That in accordance with the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* Council, by absolute majority, move into closed session to consider the following items:

Confirmation of Minutes

Regulation 34(6) *In confirming the minutes of a meeting, debate is allowed only in respect of the accuracy of the minutes.*

Applications for Leave of Absence

Regulation 15(2)(h) *applications by councillors for a leave of absence*

Rate Rebate - Conservation Covenant

Regulation 15(2)(a) *personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the council and industrial relations matters.*

CEO's Probation

Regulation 15(2)(a) *personnel matters, including complaints against an employee of the council and industrial relations matters.*

CARRIED

In accordance with the Kingborough Council *Meetings Audio Recording Guidelines Policy*, recording of the open session of the meeting will now cease.

Open Session of Council adjourned at 6.56pm

OPEN SESSION ADJOURNS

OPEN SESSION RESUMES

Open Session of Council resumed at 7.21pm

C353/21-2024

Moved: Cr Flora Fox
Seconded: Cr Amanda Midgley

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolves to report that it has determined the following:

Item	Decision
Confirmation of Minutes	Confirmed
Applications for Leave of Absence	Approved
Rate Rebate - Conservation Covenant	Approved
CEO's Probation	Approved

CARRIED

CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 7.22pm

.....
(Confirmed)

.....
(Date)